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1 | Background

- Monitoring & Early Warning Systems
- Vulnerability & Risk Assessment
- Mitigation & Response

National Drought Management Policy
2. Objectives of National Drought Policy

- To encourage vulnerable economic sectors & population groups to adopt self-reliant measures that promote risk management.

- To promote sustainable use of agricultural & natural resource base

- To facilitate early recovery from drought through actions consistent with national drought policy objectives

- It can be a stand-alone policy or part of national policy for disaster risk reduction that is centered on the principles of risk management (UNISDR, 2009)
The 10-Step Planning Process

- It is a one approach to assist nations with NDP process
- It can/should be modified/adopted according to local conditions
- Requires political will and coordinated approach; diverse stakeholders must be engaged in the process.
- Has been fundamental in guiding drought mitigation and preparedness plans in the USA, Brazil, Mexico, Morocco, among others.
Step 1: Establish a “National Drought Commission”

- Appointed by a high level authority
- Supervises & coordinates the development of the plan
- Coordinates action, implement mitigation & response programs during times of drought & to make policy recommendations to the appropriate government stakeholders
- The commission should reflect the multidisciplinary nature of drought/impacts
- Should include representatives of both state & federal government agencies & universities (rep. of extension, climatologists, policy specialists, planners, private sectors, etc.) - composition of NDC: state specific
Step 2: Define the goals

- Government officials should consider many questions as they define the purpose of the plan.
- Scope of the plan should be defined.
- It should consider:
  - The most drought-prone areas of the nation.
  - Historical impacts and historical response to drought.
  - Most vulnerable economic and social sectors.
  - Legal and social implications of the plan.
  - Environmental concerns caused by drought.

- Drought plans may differ b/n countries/regions but the overall goal remains the same: reduce impacts of drought.
Step 3: Seek Stakeholder Participation & Resolve Conflict

- Resolve conflicts between key water user sectors (competition for scarce water resources among sectors)

- It is essential to identify all citizen groups (solicit input from all stakeholders) that have a stake in drought planning and to understand their interests.

- These groups (ranging from farmers, the poor, rural residents, marginalized, practitioners to decision makers) must be involved early and continuously for fair representation & effective drought management and planning.

- Forms of participation: establish citizens council/district (regional) advisory council, etc. as permanent feature of drought plan, helps to keep information flowing.
Step 4: Inventory Resources & Identify Groups at Risk

- An inventory of natural, biological, and human resources including the identification of constraints that may impede the planning process, may need to be initiated by the drought commission.
- Natural resource (ex. Water): where is it located?; how accessible is it? of what quality?
- Biological resources: quantity/quality of rangelands, forests, wildlife, etc.
- Human resources: labor needed to develop water resources, pipelines,…

- Identify constraints to the planning process and to the activation of the various elements of the plan as drought conditions develop (*Constraints may be physical, financial, legal, or political*)

- Costs of the plan against losses (cost of inaction) should be weighed

- Areas of high risk should be identified (exposure X vulnerability)
Step 5: Prepare Drought Preparedness & Mitigation Plan

Drought Commission Organizational Structure

- Monitoring Committee
- Risk Assessment Committee
- Working Groups

Policy Direction
Situation Reports
Assessment Reports

Policy Direction
Assessment Reports
Situation Reports
Step 5: Prepare Drought Preparedness & Mitigation Plan (Cont.)

- An important step of establishing relevant committees
- **Write** the drought plan & develop necessary organizational structure
- Drought plan’s three man components: (1) monitoring committee, (2) risk assessment committee and (3) mitigation and response (commission)
- **Specific responsibilities of NDC (mitigation & response):**
  - Determine mitigation and response actions for sectors in collaboration with risk assessment committee
  - Inventory all forms of assistance from the various levels of government during severe drought
  - Work with monitoring and risk assessment committees to establish triggers
  - Establish drought management areas
  - Developing website for disseminating drought monitoring info & drought plan
Step 5: Prepare Drought Preparedness & Mitigation Plan (Cont.)

Monitoring Committee:

- Help policy makers adopt a workable definition of drought
- Help the commission establish drought management areas
- Develop a drought monitoring system
- Inventory data quantity and quality from current observation networks
- Determine data needs of primary users
- Develop/modify current data information delivery systems
Step 5: Prepare Drought Preparedness & Mitigation Plan (Cont.)

Risk Assessment Committee

- Assemble the team
- Evaluate the effects of past droughts
- Rank impacts
- Identify underlying causes
- Identify ways to reduce risk
- Write “to do” list
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Step 6: Identify Research Needs & Fill Institutional Gaps

- The drought commission should compile a list of specific research needs and deficiencies and make recommendations to the appropriate government body on remedial measures that should be taken.
- Examples:
  - Improving understanding on how climate change may affect incidence of drought events & severity
  - Research on improved early warning techniques and delivery systems
  - Gaps in monitoring station networks may exist
  - Meteorological, hydrological & ecological networks need to be automated so as to retrieve data in a timely manner in support of an early warning system
- Step 6 is expected to be executed alongside with steps 4 & 5.
Step 7: Integrate Science and Policy

- Integration of science and policy during the planning process will also be useful in setting research priorities and synthesizing current understanding.

- Often policy makers have a weak grasp of scientific issues/technical constraints associated with drought.

- Often scientists have a poor understanding of existing policy constraints to respond impacts of drought.

- Communication between science and policy must be enhanced
  - Setting research priorities
  - Synthesizes current understanding
Communicate constantly with the public, emphasising on issues including:

- How the drought plan is expected to relieve drought impacts in both the short and long term.

- What changes people might be asked to make in response to different degrees of drought

- What it will cost to implement each option, and how it will be funded
Step 9: Develop Education Programs

- An education program to raise awareness of short and long-term water supply issues will facilitate that people understand how to respond to drought when it occurs and that drought planning does not lose ground during non-drought years.

- Build better than “normal better awareness”
Step 10: Evaluate and Revise

The final step in the planning process is to create a detailed set of procedures to ensure adequate plan evaluation.

- **Ongoing evaluation:**
  It keeps track of how societal changes such as new technology, new research, new laws, and changes in political leadership may affect drought risk and the operational aspects of the drought plan.

- **Post-Drought Evaluation:**
  It documents and analyzes the assessment and response actions of government, nongovernmental organizations, and others and provides a mechanism to implement recommendations for improving the system.
• Should be **broadly** stated (to accommodate changes in time & space)

• Should be intrinsically linked to the development/implementation of preparedness & mitigation plans at sub-national level

• Can be a stand-alone policy or it can be part of national policy for disaster risk reduction, sustainable development, IWRM, etc.

• Should establish a **clear set of principles/operating guidelines**

• **should be consistent & equitable** for all regions, pop groups & economic as well as social sectors

• Should be consistent with the goals of **sustainable development**

• Should reflect **regional differences** in drought characteristics, vulnerability and impacts

*Source: Wilhite, 2007*
Arrangements/Guiding Principles for Drought Policy

- Political commitment, strong institutions, & appropriate governance (essential for integrating drought risk issues to sustainable development & disaster risk reduction process)

- Stakeholder participation: Bottom-up approach with community participation (both in decision making and implementation)

- Preparedness at all levels of government (Individuals, community, decision makers, local and regional authorities)

- Legal/institutional framework with defined responsibilities and cross-institutional collaboration

Source: UNISDR, 2007
• **Capacity building and knowledge development** to help build political commitment, competent institutions, and an informed constituency

• Establish clear **set of principles and operating guidelines** to govern management of droughts

• Drought policies should **emphasize mitigation and preparedness**

• **Policy mechanisms** to ensure that strategies are carried out

• Development of **long-term investment** in mitigation and preparedness measures
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1. Lack of coordination for drought risk management

In Viet Nam, responsibility for drought risk is centralized within the national government, but the management of drought risk drivers falls between different institutions responsible for managing forests, agriculture, water and land use. (Shaw et al., 2010)

2. Low priority given to drought by governments

In Mexico, 16 million hectares of agricultural land in Sonora, Mexico, 87 percent are rain-fed and highly vulnerable to agricultural drought and accounts for 70 percent of agricultural production. Nevertheless, there is no drought early warning system or any systematic recording of drought impacts. (Neri, 2004; Neri and Briones, 2010)
3. Weak local drought risk governance capacities

In North-western Bangladesh, the local governments of Tanore and Shibganj have very low institutional resilience. They have not incorporated drought risk into disaster management plans, not developed effective drought risk management policies, training or demonstration programmes, and have weak coordination with other government institutions and NGO.

(Shaw et al., 2010; Habiba et al., 2011)

4. Conflict on water use

To manage scarce groundwater more efficiently during droughts, Morocco enacted a series of reforms, which included the privatization of water rights during the 1990s. The new policies conflicted with tribal customs and religious views and, due to the government’s inability to ensure compliance, overexploitation of groundwater continued.

(Doukkali, 2005)

**Other challenges:**

- Engaging effectively media and civic organizations for advocacy
- Securing sustainable funding
- etc.
Australia

Last three severe droughts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1982–83</td>
<td>One of the most intense and widespread droughts on record. Total loss was estimated to have been in excess of $3 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002–07</td>
<td>Winter crop production declined sharply in 2002–03 and, after recovering, declined again in 2006–07. The Murray–Darling Basin inflows were the lowest on record, severely affecting irrigated agriculture.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Actions
- In 1992, a National Drought Policy was established.
- Since the 1992 drought policy was enacted, it has been reviewed and its principles reinforced several times.
- In early 2008, the government further improved to the National Drought Policy in the context of responding to climate change, enhancing productivity and improving market access.

(Nicholson, et. al, 2011, p. 35)
Australia

Objectives of the National Drought Policy:

• encourage primary producers and other sections of rural Australia to adopt self-reliant approaches to managing climatic variability
• maintain and protect Australia’s agricultural and environmental resource base during periods of extreme climate stress
• ensure early recovery of agricultural and rural industries, consistent with long-term sustainable levels.

An important Australian Government initiative has been to move away from a crisis management approach for droughts to an increased emphasis on climate risk management.
Morocco

- established Integrated Drought Management System (in early 2000) with a focus on

  Monitoring and early warning:
  - Developed institutional and technical capacities in climate modeling, remote sensing, crop forecasting
  - Established National Drought Observatory in the year 2000

Emergency plans to reduce impacts of drought:
- Developed various programmes: securing safe water for rural populations; preserving livestock through feed distribution; creating income & job creating activities and conserving forests

Reduce Vulnerability
- Integrated approach to water resources management
- Improving hydraulic efficiency of irrigation systems
- Strengthening managerial capacities of irrigation agencies
- Increasing productivity
- National plan to conserve irrigation water is developed
Conclusions and Lessons learned

• As a result of drought and development policies, the Northeast of Brazil has reduced its economic and social vulnerability to droughts.
• Environmental vulnerability, however, has increased, due to increased human pressure on the natural resources of the Semi-arid Northeast.
• Though the social impacts of droughts have decreased, due to social and economic policies, there is still a large group of the rural poor population that is vulnerable. This group continues to depend on social policies, especially on cash transfers.
• Climate risk should be considered as a dimension in economic, environmental and social public policies. This is an area where there seems to be increasing awareness but still little concrete actions...
Spain

Spanish Constitution and the European Union Water Framework are the bases of the Spanish water codes and statutes, including drought management plans.

The Law of the National Hydrological Plan (2001) explicitly ordered the development of Special Drought Management Plans for all basins and Drought Emergency Plans for all urban water supply systems.

**Management actions under the drought policy:**

- Internal operations
- Water uses
- Water reuses
- Industrial uses
- Legal aspects
## Spain

### Summary of a planning framework for risk management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring Indicators</th>
<th>Preparedness</th>
<th>Pre-Alert</th>
<th>Alert</th>
<th>Emergency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indicators show a normal situation</td>
<td>Indicators show initial stage of danger; no observed impacts (meteorological drought)</td>
<td>Drought is occurring and impacts will occur if measures are not taken (meteorological and hydrological drought)</td>
<td>Drought is persistent and impacts have occurred; water supply is not guaranteed (socio-economic drought)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Objective of the plan in each stage

| To ensure that a preparedness and early warning plan is in place | To ensure acceptance of measures to be taken in case of alarm or emergency by raising awareness of the danger of drought | To overcome the drought situation and to guarantee water supply while emergency measures can be put in place | To minimize damage, the priority is drinking water |

#### Measures

| Development of a management plan and strategy for revision and review Implementation of a monitoring and early warning system Integration with development and land use policies | Low cost, indirect, voluntary Non-structural directed to influence water demand and avoid worse situations. Focus on communication and awareness Intensification of monitoring and evaluation of worse case scenarios | Low cost, direct, coercive, direct impact on consumption costs. Non-structural directed to specific water use groups. Water restrictions for uses that do not affect drinking water. Changes in management. Revision of tariffs | High cost, direct, restrictive, approved as general interest actions. Structural, new infrastructure, intra-basin, inter-basin and transboundary transfers. Non-structural, such as permission for new groundwater abstraction points. Water restrictions for all users, including urban demand. |

*(Iglesias & Garrote, 2011, p.74)*
China

In 2002 and 2004, China approved the Water and the Meteorological Law respectively, both of which are active in the prevention and control of drought.

Purpose of the Plan:

• Identifies the local, provincial, state sector entities and non-governmental organizations that are involved with drought management and defines their responsibilities.

• Defines a process to be followed in addressing drought related activities, including monitoring, early warning, impact assessment, emergency response, hazard relief and recovery, and logistic supports

• Identifies long and short term activities that can be implemented to prevent and mitigate drought impacts
In the period 1980-2002, drought occurred in Kenya 5 times (every 5 years).

Preparedness costs (1999-2001) = $US 1.65 million per year for five years = 8.25 million

Preparedness costs: Annual fixed & variable costs including running EW, preparing contingency plans, costs of meetings and other activities; training costs and other information and preparedness costs.

Total cost of food relief in the emergency of 1999-2001 (for drought affected areas)= 150 million USD

- Preparedness costs accounted for only 5.5% of the total costs associated with emergency relief responses.

References: Jeremy Swift, David Barton, John Morton. 2002?DROUGHT MANAGEMENT FOR PASTORAL LIVELIHOODS - POLICY GUIDELINES FOR KENYA
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Breakout Groups

Group A: What are the challenges for developing national drought policies? *(Donald)*

Group B: What are the institutional arrangements necessary for developing national drought policies? *(Daniel)*

Group C: What are the steps being undertaken for developing national drought policies *(country-specific discussion)*? *(Simone)*
The National Drought Management Policy

- Policy is a **principle** to guide decisions/actions and achieve rational/desired outcomes adopted by government, private sector organizations and groups, as well as individuals (Wikipedia).

- Policies also refer to the **process** of making important decisions.

- The national drought management policy **provides framework** for the paradigm shift (reactive to proactive)

- It is a drought planning methodology

- Preparedness & mitigation plans (at sub-national level) are instruments to execute the policy.